Clinical Respiratory Symptoms and Spirometric Parameters among Tile Manufacturing Factory Workers, Yazd, Iran

Abdorreza Zarei^a, Abolfazl Barkhordari^a, Alireza Koohpaei^b, Morteza Mortazavi Mehrizi^{a*}, Ahmad Zolfaghari^c

^aOccupational Health Department, School of Health, Yazd University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran.

^bOccupational Health Department, School of Health, Qom University of Medical Sciences, Qom, Iran.

^cHealth & Medicine Department, PIHO (petroleum industry health organization), Isfahan, Iran.

*Correspondence should be addressed to Dr. Morteza Mortazavi Mehrizi, Email: matinmehrsa1360@gmail.com

A-R-T-I-C-L-EI-N-F-O	A-B-S-T-R-A-C-T
Article Notes: Received: Sep 12, 2017 Received in revised form: Dec 3, 2017 Accepted: Dec 2, 2017 Available Online: Jan 1, 2018	 Background & Aims of the Study: Respiratory diseases are considered as the most important occupational diseases and the absence in the workplaces. Workers in the tile and ceramic industries are exposed to high concentrations of dusts. The main aim of the present study was to evaluate the pulmonary reactions and pulmonary function tests associated with exposure to dust among workers of a tile industry, Yazd, Iran. Materials & Methods: This research was designed as a cross-sectional research. All workers (n=26) working in the press and spray halls were considered as exposed (case) group. Also 17 unexposed workers (control group) were selected for interview as well as respiratory symptom questionnaires were administered to them. Demographic data and lung function tests of participants were gathered and analyzed.
Keywords: Pulmonary function test, Respiratory symptoms, Total dust, Tile industry, Air pollution, Iran.	Results: Demographic and socioeconomic variables of both groups were similar (p>0.05). Total dust and respirable dust were measured in the exposed group equals to 29.94 \pm 10.24 and 17.69 \pm 7.57. Total dust and respirable dust in the control group were measured equals to 3.94 \pm 2.62 and 1.73 \pm 4.7 respectively. The results were different significantly (p<0.05). The prevalence of respiratory symptoms was 11.5-38.5%. These symptoms in case group compared to control group, had higher prevalence of cough, phlegm. The results were significantly increased in exposed workers than unexposed workers (p<0.05). Decrease in pulmonary function test was significantly higher in exposed workers than unexposed workers (p<0.05). Conclusion: Our finding revealed that a clear link exists between high levels of airborne dust and the prevalence of respiratory symptoms among workers. Based on this fact and in order to reduce pulmonary complications, preventive measures plan in the factory, such as technical measures (suitable ventilation system) and training programs about the proper using of personal protective equipment should be considered.

Please cite this article as: Zarei A, Barkhordari A, Koohpaei A, Mortazavi Mehrizi M, Zolfaghari A. Clinical Respiratory Symptoms and Spirometric Parameters among Tile Manufacturing Factory Workers, Yazd, Iran. Arch Hyg Sci 2018;7(1):17-22.

Background

Respiratory diseases are one of the most common occupational diseases as well as the main cause of the work absence (1). Occupational dust exposure can cause lung diseases such as bronchitis and asthma (2,3). Long term exposure with respirable dust in the workplaces is a main risk factor for chronic lung diseases and many respiratory disorders (4). Studies had shown that the respiratory disorders incidence caused by occupational exposure were between 4.5 and 19% (5,6). Among the tile industries worldwide, tiles industry is among the industries where workers

Archives of Hygiene Sciences

Volume 7, Number 1, Autumn 2018

• Clinical Respiratory Symptoms and Spirometric...

are often exposed to hazardous dust material (7-10). Some pulmonary fibrotic diseases can produce by dust exposure (11). Silica is considered as a noticeable respirable particles in the environment. Silicosis, lung cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease are considered as the outcome of long-term exposure to crystalline silica (12,13). Previous studies had shown that a statistical relationship existed between silica exposure and increasing pneumoconiosis, bronchitis risk of and respiratory distress (14,15). Another study was done in 2007 in several tile and ceramics factory increasing risk of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease was reported (16). In a similar research in the tile industry, problems such as high incidence of respiratory problems, including coughing, wheezing, shortness of breath and phlegm among workers as well as decreasing in pulmonary function indices were observed (17).

Dehghan et al. in a study in Yazd province a significant increase had been reported in respiratory problems among workers. Also a significant reduction in spirometric parameters was observed (18). However, in a study in Italy а noticeable reduction in spirometric parameters had been shown (19). Halvani et al. in a study in Yazd were reported a significant relationship between the respiratory symptoms and dust exposure. But were not observed significant association between the dust amount and reduced lung function parameters (11).

Aims of the study:

The main purpose of the present study was to pulmonary evaluate the reactions and pulmonary function tests associated with exposure to dust among workers of a tile industry located in Yazd, Iran. Our finding results can be applied in implementing preventive measures. Also, it can be beneficial for employers and other stockholders for control of airborne dusts. The dust reduction management of pollutants the in and workplaces is an important challenge, therefore, it seems that the research finding can be applied for air pollution control strategies.

Materials & Methods

All workers (n=26) while working in the press and spray halls were selected as exposed group. Also, 17 people without any exposure to dust (but working in the tile manufacturing factory) were included by random sampling (control group). The control group was selected based on matching of the socio-economic variables (gender, education level, smoking behavior and economic level). The present study was designed based on the Helsinki declaration (20) and all participants were signed a consent form. History of respiratory disorders, chest surgery and lung injuries were selected as exclusion criteria. The healthy persons had no history of previous and current dust exposure. Gathering done through interview data was and questionnaires. This valid questionnaire was applied based on the American Thoracic Society (ATS) (17,21). In this questionnaire, the questions about individual respiratory conditions, chronic cough, wheezing, shortness sputum, bronchitis, breath. smoking of behavior, workers and their family's medical records, job type, work history and previous jobs especially jobs with risk of respiratory distress has been used for collecting data.

Dust exposure monitoring of the studied workers was done by respirable dust particle sampling (less than 5 microns in diameter) and non-respirable dust particle sampling (equal to or greater than 5 microns in diameter). Selected method for analysis was weighting. Based on the sampling protocol the 37mm/0.5µ PVC filters, accompanied with a cyclone were used. According the similar studies (NIOSH method No. 7601) a flow rate equals to 2 liters per minute were adjusted and total volume of sampling equals to 400-800 liters were taken. For respirable dust and total dust a Threshold Limit Value (TLV) equals to 3 and 10 milligrams per cubic meter were considered respectively (22).

Archives of Hygiene Sciences

Volume 7, Number 1, Winter 2018

•Clinical Respiratory Symptoms and Spirometric...

In order to pulmonary function assessment Forced Vital Capacity (FVC), Forced Expiratory Volume in the first second (FEV1), FEV1/FVC, forced Expiratory Flow at 25-75% (FEF25/75) and Peak Expiratory Flow (PEF) were applied accompanied with a calibrated spirometer. The gathered data was analyzed aided by SPSS V.21. In all tests, the significance level of 0.05 was assumed.

Results

As was shown in Table 1 among personal characteristics and history of smoking were not observed statistically significant differences between two groups (cases and control).

Duration of exposure to dust among cases was reported as 6.11 ± 3.81 years. Also respirable and non-respirable sampling result was measured among the exposed group equals to 29.94 ± 10.24 and 17.69 ± 7.57 mg per cubic meter of air, respectively. Detailed information was included in Table 2.

Table 1) Demographic characteristic of the exposed person (M+SD)

	person (m	±0 D)	
Variable	Cases (n=26)	Control (n=17)	P _{Value}
Age (years)	31.61±4.41	33.23±4.96	0.27
Weight (kg)	73.11±7.47	73.11±9.4	0.98
Height (cm)	172.92±3.86	171.41±2.21	0.16
Work history	6.11±3.81	5.88 ± 4.82	0.86
(Years)			
Smoking	0.84 ± 2.2	1.58±3.6	0.41
duration (Years)			
Smoking	1.84 ± 0.36	1.82±0.39	0.84
Frequency			

Table 2) Dust sampling results	(milligrams per cubic
motor) (M. (וחי

Variable	Cases (n=26)	Control (n=17)	P _{Value}
Total dust	29.94±10.24	2.62 ± 3.94	0.001
Respirable dust	17.69±7.57	1.73±4.7	0.001

Based on Table 3 the prevalence of respiratory symptoms such as continuous cough, phlegm, wheezing and shortness of breath (11.5-38.5) among exposed workers were reported. Based on before mentioned symptoms a statistical difference was observed between case and control groups.

Compared spirometry results were revealed that significant difference was existed between two studied groups of parameters such as FEV1/FVC, FVC, and FEV1 (Table 4).

As was indicated in Table 4 a significant association was reported between dust exposure and respiratory tests (FEV1/FVC, FVC, FEV1 and PEF).

Variable	Cases (n=26)	Control (n=17)	P _{Value}	Chi-square
cough	38.5	5.9	0.029	5.73
phlegm	38.5	5.9	0.029	5.73
wheezing	19.2	5.9	0.37	1.52
shortness of breath	11.5	0	0.26	2.1
Ta	ble 4) Spirometry	results between the t	wo groups (M	(±SD)
Variable	Cases (1	n=26) Control	(n=17)	P _{Value}
FVC	91.2±10	0.3 103.58±7		0.001
FEV ₁	90.96±1	0.2 101.47±6	5.1	0.001
PEF	84.65±1	3.66 97.26±11	.22	0.003
FEV ₁ /FV	C 89.32±1	0.31 98.94±6.	94	0.002
FEF25/7		8.1 91.29±13	3.27	0.14

Archives of Hygiene Sciences

Volume 7, Number 1, Winter 2018

Discussion

Based on our results a clear linkage was existed between dust concentration and the pulmonary symptoms in the tile industry. Also obvious changes were observed in some parametric indices among cases and control groups. Our finding was in line with Sakar et al. study (23). In the present study the prevalence of pulmonary symptoms of cases group was reported up to 38%. In some previous studies, this prevalence rate had been reported equal to 44%. This difference is probably due to more history of the exposed workers (24). In accordance with the Neghab et al. research a difference about respiratory significant symptoms was observed between the two studied groups (17). In the Bahrami et al. study, more respiratory symptoms (not statistically significant) than our control group results were observed (1). In another study that was conducted by Masngut et al. in the ceramic factory the prevalence of respiratory symptoms was decreased significantly between two groups: those exposed to dust when using protective apparatus and people without them (25). Based on our finding, for the exposed group wheezing and shortness of breath difference was not significant. However, this finding was greater among exposed cases. Perhaps the similarity of the results is interpreted to the required time for the symptoms emergence.

Pulmonary function test differences between two studied groups in line with other literature were significant statistically (17,26). The difference between spirometric indices can be related to the airborne dust concentration. This result was similar to several studies (17,18,13,24). Mehrparvar et al. were studied pulmonary function test changes among exposed workers overtime periods. Their study had been concluded that over two year intervals, pulmonary function tests had been decreased significantly (26). Another reason for different results between cases and control groups may be related to dust concentration existing in the workplace. This result is similar with some studies (19,27) but Sakar et al. study was not reported a significant reduction in the FVC and FEV1 indices between cases and control groups (23).

Our finding also revealed that a clear link exists between the prevalence of respiratory symptoms and levels of airborne dust among workers. In another study, a significant decrease in was observed FVC and FEV1 (17). Other authors in their studies showed spirometeric measures decreased significantly for exposed workers to the airborne dust and fumes (28-31).

Conclusion

In the present study a significant relationship was reported between exposure to airborne dust concentration and some pulmonary symptoms (cough and sputum). Also a decrease was observed in parametric indicators of pulmonary function (FEV1/FVC, FVC and FEV1 or PEF). For reducing the pulmonary complications, preventive measures plan in the factory, such as technical measures (suitable ventilation system) and training programs should be considered.

Footnotes

Acknowledgement:

The authors wish to acknowledge the support of carrying out this project (MSC thesis) from Yazd University of medical sciences. Also the authors would like to appreciate all honorable workers for their gracious cooperation as well as the Vice Chancellor for Research and Technology in Yazd University of Medical Sciences due to their financial support.

Funding/Support

This study was supported by Vice Chancellor for Research and Technology in Yazd University of Medical Sciences.

Conflict of Interest:

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Archives of Hygiene Sciences

Volume 7, Number 1, Winter 2018

•Clinical Respiratory Symptoms and Spirometric...

References

1. Bahrami AR, Mahjub H. Comparative study of lung function in Iranian factory workers exposed to silica dust. East Mediterr Health J 2003;9(3):390-8.

2. Vermeulen R, Heederik D, Kromhout H, Smit HA. Respiratory symptoms and occupation: a cross-sectional study of the general population. Environ Health 2002;1:5-11.

3. Bakke PS, Baste V, Hanoa R, Gulsvik A. Prevalence of obstructive lung disease in a general population: relation to occupational title and exposure to some airborne agents. Thorax 1991;46(12):863-70.

4. Beckett WS. Occupational respiratory diseases. N Engl J Med 2000;342(6):406-13.

5. Kogevinas M, Antó JM, Soriano JB, Tobias A, Burney P. The risk of asthma attributable to occupational exposures. A population-based study in Spain. Spanish Group of the European Asthma Study Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1996;154(1):137-43.

6. Bakke P, Eide GE, Hanoa R, Gulsvik A. Occupational dust or gas exposure and prevalences of respiratory symptoms and asthma in a general population. Eur Respir J 1991;4(3):273-8.

7. Masngut MI, Baharudin MR, Abd Rahman A. A systematic review on risk factors for reduced lung function due to occupational respirable dust exposure: 2005-2015. Int J Public Health Clin Sci 2015;2(4):44-62.

8. Majumder J, Shah P, Bagepally BS. Task distribution, work environment, and perceived health discomforts among Indian ceramic workers. Am J Ind Med 2016; 59(12):1145-55.

9. Maxim LD, Niebo R, McConnell EE. Bentonite toxicology and epidemiology-A review. Inhal Toxicol 2016;28(13):591-617.

10. Barkhordari A, Poorabdian S, Khoobi G, Karchani M. The study of changes in the serial peak flowmetery test in the workers of car painting workshops in Isfahan. Sci J Kurdistan Univ 2011;15(4):73-80. (Full Text in Persian)

11. Halvani GH, Zare M, Halvani A, Barkhordari A. Evaluation and comparison of respiratory symptoms and lung capacities in tile and ceramic factory workers of Yazd. Arh Hig Rada Toksikol 2008;59(3):197-204.

12. Parkes WR. Parkes' Occupational lung disorders. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann; 1994.

13. Lee HS, Phoon WH, Wang SY, Tan KP. Occupational respiratory diseases in Singapore. Singapore Med J 1996;37(2):160-4.

14. Forastiere F, Goldsmith DF, Sperati A, Rapiti E, Miceli M, Cavariani F, et al. Silicosis and lung function decrements among female ceramic workers in Italy. Am J Epidemiol 2002;156(9):851-6.

15. Gielec L, Izycki J, Wozniak H. Evaluation of long-term occupational exposure to dust and its effect on health during production of ceramic tiles. Med Pr 1992;43(1):25-33.

16. Rushton L. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and occupational exposure to silica. Rev Environ Health 2007;22(4):255-72.

17. Neghab M, Zadeh JH, Fakoorziba MR. Respiratory Toxicity of Raw Materials Used in Ceramic Production. Ind Health 2009;47(1):64-9.

18. Dehghan F, Mohammadi S, Sadeghi Z, Attarchi M. Respiratory complaints and spirometric parameters in tile and ceramic factory workers. Tanaffos 2009;8(4):19-25.

19. Cavariani F, Carneiro AP, Leonori R, Bedini L, Quercia A, Forastiere F. Silica in ceramic industry: exposition and pulmonary diseases. G Ital Med Lav Ergon 2005;27(3):300-2.

20. Anderwood WM. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. J Postgraduate Med 2002;48(3):206-12.

21. Ferris BG. Epidemiology Standardization Project (American Thoracic Society). Am Rev Respir Dis 1978;118(6 Pt 2):1-7.

22. Castleman BI, Ziem GE. American conference of governmental industrial hygienists: Low threshold of credibility. Am J Ind Med 1994;26(1):133-43.

23. Sakar A, Kaya E, Celik P, Gencer N, Temel O, Yaman N, et al. Evaluation of silicosis in ceramic workers. Tuberk Toraks 2005;53(2):148-55.

24. Salicio VA, Botelho C, da Silva AM, Salicio MA. Factors associated with alterations in lung function among workers in the ceramics industry. Cien Saude Colet 2013;18(5):1353-60.

25. Izwan M, Baharudin MR, Rahman AA. A systematic review on risk factors for reduced lung function due to occupational respirable dust exposures: 2005-2015. Int J Public Health Clin Sci 2015;2(4):2289-7577.

26. Mehrparvar AH, Mirmohammadi SJ, Mostaghaci M, Davari MH, Hashemi SH. A 2-year follow-up of spirometric parameters in workers of a tile and ceramic industry, Yazd, southeastern Iran. Int J Occup Environ Med 2013;4(2):73-9.

27. Love RG, Waclawski ER, Maclaren WM, Wetherill GZ, Groat SK, Porteous RH, et al. Risks of respiratory disease in the heavy clay industry. Occup Environ Med 1999;56(2):124-33.

28. Mwaiselage J, Bratveit M, Moen B, Mashalla Y. Cement dust exposure and ventilatory function impairment: an exposure-response study. J Occup Environ Med 2004;46(7):658-67.

29. Yarmohammadi H, Hamidvand E, Abdollahzadeh D, Sohrabi Y, Poursadeghiyan M, Biglari H ,et al.

Archives of Hygiene Sciences

Volume 7, Number 1, Winter 2018

Measuring concentration of welding fumes in respiratory zones of welders: An ergo-toxicological approach. Res J Med Sci 2016;10(3): 111-115.

30. Koohpaei AR, Golbabaei F, Shahtaheri SJ, Nikpey A, Frazinnia B. Evaluation of Nuisance Dust Health Effects on the Workers in a Tile Industry. Qom Univ Med Sci J 2008;2(2):43-48. (Full Text in Persian)

31. Malakouti J, Koohpaei AR, Arsnag Jang S, Dehghan Nasiri M. Pulmonary effects of exposure to synthetic fibers: A case study in a textile industry in Iran. Arch Hyg Sci 2015;4(3):137-145.