Monitoring of Heavy Metal Concentration in Groundwater of Qorveh County, Kurdistan Province, Iran

Nafiseh Yousefi^{a*}, Amin Jahangard^b

^aIslamic Azad University, Hamedan Branch, Hamedan, Iran. ^bYoung Researchers and Elite Club, Islamic Azad University, Hamedan Branch, Hamedan, Iran.

*Correspondence should be addressed to Mrs. Nafiseh Yousefi, Email: nafiyousefi@yahoo.com

A-R-T-I-C-L-EI-N-F-O	A-B-S-T-R-A-C-T
Article Notes: Received: Mar 1, 2016 Received in revised form: May 20, 2016 Accepted: June 26, 2016 Available Online: July 9, 2016	 Background & Aims of the Study: Nowadays, the quality of water is a very important concern. High levels of heavy metals in drinking water may cause some health problems such as cancer. The aim of this study is determination of some heavy metal concentrations in groundwater of some parts of Qorveh county, Kurdistan, Iran. Materials & Methods: In this study 25 water samples were analyzed, using Inductively Coupled Plasma for determining the concentrations of iron, chromium, copper and zinc. As a case study, the groundwater contamination in some parts of Qorveh county, Kurdistan, Iran, was investigated and compared to the maximum contaminant level specified by the World Health Organization (WHO) and Iranian Standard Institute (IS: 1053), using
Keywords: Heavy Metals, Kurdistan, Groundwater, Monitoring, Iran.	 ANOVA test. Results: Obtained results showed that in some cases the concentration of heavy metals were above WHO and IS: 1053. Conclusions: Heavy metals contamination can enter the food chain and cause various health problems. Thus, according to the obtained results, it is necessary to launch water management programs in the study area.

Please cite this article as: Yousefi N, Jahangard A. Monitoring of Heavy Metal Concentration in Groundwater of Qorveh County, Kurdistan Province, Iran: Arch Hyg Sci 2016;5(3):166-71.

Background

Groundwater is a main source of drinking water for people, and even more than half of the world's population uses groundwater for daily necessities now. The good quality of groundwater is a very important factor, which makes it an ideal source of drinking water. In recent years, the studies about the groundwater quality are increased. The constituents of soil, rocks, and plants might contaminate the groundwater because it is directly in contact with these sources (1,2,3). Heavy metals are one of the most important environmental concerns (4,5). High concentration of heavy metals in groundwater are related to the industrial activities such as mining. Vertical displacement and leaching of heavy metals may occur in the soil profile and contaminate the groundwater (6,7). Many diseases resulted from polluted water are responsible for 80% of all illnesses/deaths in developing countries (8,9). So, it is necessary to assess the quality of ground water sources. The World Health Organization (WHO) (10) and Iranian Standard and Industrial Researches Institute (IS: 1053) (11) present a specified maximum contaminant level for heavy metal levels in water.

Archives of Hygiene Sciences

Volume 5, Number 3, Summer 2016

• Monitoring of Heavy Metal Concentration in ...

Aims of the study:

The aim of this study was to determination the concentration of some heavy metals in the ground water sources in some parts of Qorveh county. Therefore, using Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP), the concentration of four heavy metals (iron, chromium, copper and zinc) were determined and the results compared to the maximum contaminant level specified by the World Health Organization(WHO) and Iranian Standard Institute(IS:1053), using ANOVA test.

Materials & Methods

Site characterization

The samples which were used in this study, collected from the Qorveh county. Qorveh is located in the eastern part of the Kurdistan province of Iran. It is restricted to the provinces of Hamedan, Bijar, Kermanshah and Sanandaj. Its center is the city of Qorveh which is located in a large plain 93 km east of Sanandaj and northwest of Hamadan and has expanded in the direction of the Sanandaj road towards Hamadan, Iran (Figure 1).




Figure 1) Location of the study area

Y	X	Sample No.	Y	X	Sample No.
N 3889232	E 76 89 26	13	N 3878861	E 11 78 78	1
N 3889363	E 76 80 94	14	N 3880279	E 77 99 60	2
N 3889613	E 76 69 91	15	N 3879977	E 77 92 71	3
N 3891483	E 76 37 94	16	N 3879616	E 77 84 55	4
N 3892068	E 76 42 98	17	N 3881805	E 78 00 74	5
N 3892617	E 76 48 95	18	N 3882505	E 77 98 61	6
N 3892433	E 76 46 66	19	N 3882880	E 77 97 14	7
N 3891543	E 76 31 60	20	N 3883322	E 77 95 27	8
N 3892041	E 76 20 51	21	N 3884295	E 77 90 43	9
N 3892280	E 76 12 68	22	N 3885535	E 77 81 76	10
N 3892595	E 76 05 06	23	N 3885999	E 77 77 77	11
N 3893750	E 75 91 12	24	N 3886679	E 77 70 82	12
N 3894485	E 75 96 50	25			

Water sampling and analysis

To determine the concentration of heavy metals, 25 sampling locations were selected at

the studied area. Geographical positions of the sampling stations are listed in table 1.

Archives of Hygiene Sciences Volu

Volume 5, Number 3, Summer 2016

Yousefi N, et. al / Arch Hyg Sci 2016;5(3): 166-71

Data were collected from drinking water wells in villages and settlements from the Qorveh county, Kurdistan, Iran. New 100- ml highdensity polyethylene (HDPE) bottles were used for sampling. Different brands of plastic bottles had previously been thoroughly checked for possible contamination (12). Before sampling, in the field, the bottles were rinsed three times with running water and then filled to the top.

The samples were acidified with 2 ml of concentrated nitric acid (Merck, Ultrapure). The acid was tested for its heavy metal content, using the same analytical procedure for water samples. Finally, the samples were stored in a cool box and transferred to the laboratory. Total levels of iron (Fe), chromium (Cr), copper (Cu) and zinc (Zn) were measured by Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP-710 Varian, Australia). Three replicate samples were analyzed from each station.

Statistical analysis

The mean levels of heavy metals were compared with 4th edition of World Health Organization (WHO), in 2011 (11) and Iranian Standard and Industrial Researches Institute (IS:1053) (11), using a two-way Analysis Of Variance (ANOVA) test. Probabilities less than 0.05 were considered as significant, statistically (p<0.05). All statistical analyses were performed, using the SPSS 15.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

The concentration of measured heavy metals are shown in table 2.

According to table 2, the total levels of chromium in the collected samples have been ranged from 0.057 to 0.092 mg/l with the maximum concentration in station number 3. The maximum of an acceptable limit for chromium as per WHO guidelines is 0.05 mg/L. Chromium concentrations in all studied samples are exceeding than WHO standards.

• Monitoring of Heavy Metal Concentration in ...

The guideline value is not given by IS: 1053 for the chromium content.

Iron levels in the collected water of different wells differed from 0.025 to 0.096 mg/l with the maximum content in station number 23. The maximum of an acceptable limit for iron as per IS: 1053 and WHO is 0.3 mg/L. The observed concentrations of iron at the studied site were within the permissible given by the IS: 1053 and WHO.

The minimum and maximum concentrations of copper at the studied site were 0.015 to 7.618 mg/l, respectively. The maximum concentration of copper has been observed at the station number 2. The maximum of an acceptable limit for copper as WHO guidelines are 2.0 mg/l. Copper levels in all collected samples, except stations 2, 6 and 9 are lower than WHO standards. The maximum of an acceptable limit for copper as per IS: 1053 is 1 mg/l. Copper levels in all collected samples, except stations 2, 6, 9 and 24 are lower than the IS: 1053.

The minimum and maximum levels of zinc were 0.024 to 1.572 mg/1, respectively. The maximum level of it had been reported in the station 12. No guideline value is given by the World Health Organization for zinc content. The maximum of an acceptable limit for zinc as per IS: 1053 is 3.0 mg/l. Zinc concentration levels in all studied samples are lower than the IS: 1053.


The comparison levels of studied heavy metals in that area are shown in Figures 2-5.

Archives of Hygiene Sciences


Volume 5, Number 3, Summer 2016


Sampling No.	Cu	Cr	Fe	Zn
1	0.278 ± 0.001	0.079 ± 0.001	0.050 ± 0.002	0.043±0.153
2	7.618±0.011	0.084 ± 0.001	0.053 ± 0.001	0.024±0.176
3	0.496 ± 0.007	0.092 ± 0.005	0.053 ± 0.005	0.025±0.005
4	0.15 ± 0.005	0.057 ± 0.001	0.041±0.256	0.099 ± 0.001
5	0.132±0.153	0.080 ± 0.219	0.051 ± 0.001	0.103±0.002
6	3.618±0.144	0.079 ± 0.001	0.043±0.209	0.094±0.145
7	0.093±0.234	0.077 ± 0.176	0.048 ± 0.145	0.035±0.006
8	0.443±0.143	0.082 ± 0.123	0.046 ± 0.001	0.267±0.238
9	2.238±0.176	0.079 ± 0.145	0.050 ± 0.005	0.062±0.003
10	0.451±0.003	0.082 ± 0.132	0.047 ± 0.216	0.267±0.005
11	0.015±0.129	0.080 ± 0.002	0.055 ± 0.001	0.052±0.003
12	0.634 ± 0.001	0.072 ± 0.003	0.042 ± 0.003	1.572 ± 0.002
13	0.258 ± 0.009	0.080 ± 0.009	0.051±0.153	0.462 ± 0.006
14	0.246±0.341	0.074 ± 0.005	0.042 ± 0.002	0.571±0.234
15	0.474 ± 0.005	0.082 ± 0.216	0.057 ± 0.006	0.057±0.153
16	0.750 ± 0.154	0.078 ± 0.235	0.038 ± 0.006	0.871±0.001
17	0.320±0.006	0.077 ± 0.001	0.051±0.002	0.061±0.231
18	0.186 ± 0.421	0.079 ± 0.003	0.050 ± 0.145	0.065±0.176
19	0.240 ± 0.243	0.081 ± 0.005	0.046 ± 0.005	0.048 ± 0.005
20	0.019 ± 0.005	0.079 ± 0.176	0.045±0.176	0.047±0.145
21	0.241±0.243	0.085 ± 0.219	0.085 ± 0.147	۰.078±0.005
22	0.274±0.241	0.075 ± 0.003	0.054 ± 0.235	0.089 ± 0.001
23	0.211±0.239	0.074 ± 0.001	0.096 ± 0.007	1.247±0.004
24	1.124±0.172	0.065 ± 0.003	0.041±0.256	0.785±0.241
25	0.521±0.175	0.084 ± 0.001	0.025 ± 0.004	0.541 ± 0.352

Table 2) Heavy metal concentrations in sampled groundwater (mean±S.E.)









Archives of Hygiene Sciences

Volume 5, Number 3, Summer 2016




Figure 4) Concentrations of Cu in sampled groundwater, WHO and IS




Figure 5) Concentrations of Zn in sampled groundwater and IS

Discussion

Nowadays, the ground water contamination is one of the most important environmental issues (13); also among different contaminants, heavy metals have particular concern because of their strong toxicity even at low levels (14).

The aim of the present study is determination of some heavy metal concentrations of the groundwater in Qorveh county, Kurdistan province, Iran. Therefore, 25 groundwater samples were collected and analyzed for Fe, Cu, Zn and Cr by ICP.ICP technique is the most useful one for the determination of heavy metal concentration up to parts per billion levels.

Obtained results showed that in some cases the concentrations of heavy metals were above WHO and IS: 1053 (Cr concentrations in all stations were above WHO, and Cu levels in

stations 2, 6 and 9, and stations 2, 6, 9 and 24 were above WHO and IS: 1053, respectively). High concentration of heavy metals in water and soil environment are as result of corrosion products, using liquid manure, composted material and agrochemicals (9,15,16).

According to the obtained results, it is necessary to launch water management programs in the study area.

Conclusion

Among all findings, it can be concluded that the concentration of heavy metals which have been measured in some cases is very high than the WHO and IS: 1053. Heavy metals contamination can enter the food chain and cause various health problems. Thus, according to the obtained results, it is necessary to launch water management programs in the study area.

Footnotes

Acknowledgments:

This study is partially supported by the Islamic Azad University, Hamedan branch.

Conflict of Interest:

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

References

1. Nardi EP, Evangelista FS, Tormen L, Saint Pierre TD, Curtius AJ, Souza SSd, Barbosa F. The use of inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) for the determination of toxic and essential elements in different types of food samples. Food Chem 2009;112(3):727–732.

2. Sobhanardakani S, Zandipak R, Mohammadi MJ. Removal of Ni(II) and Zn(II) from aqueous solutions using chitosan. Arch Hyg Sci2016;5(1):47–55.

3. UNESCO, Water Portal newsletter. No. 161: Water-related Diseases. Available From: http://www.unesco.org/water/news/newsletter/161.shtml. Accessed March 1, 2008.

4. Adriano DC (ed). Biogeochemistry of trace metals. Lewis Publishers: Boca Raton. Fl; 1992. p. 121.

5. Alloway BJ, Toxic metals in soil-plant systems. Wiley:Chichester, UK; 1994. p. 18–19.

6. Sohrabi M, Beigmohammadi Z, Cheraghi M, Majidifar S, Jahangard A. Health risks of heavy metals for population via consumption of greenhouse vegetables in Hamadan, Iran. Arch Hyg Sci 2015;4(4):165–171.

7. Witter E. Towards zero accumulation of heavy metals in soils. An imperative or a fad? Fert Res 1996;43(1):225–233.

8. Sobhan Ardakania S, Jafari M. Metals analysis in common carp (Cyprinus Carpio) from Shirinsu wetland, Hamedan province, Iran. Arch Hyg Sci 2015;4(4):172–178.

9. Bhagure GR, Mirgane SR. Heavy metal concentrations in groundwaters and soils of Thane Region of Maharashtra India. Environ Monit Assess 2011;173(1-4):643–652.

10. UNESCO, Ground Water Pollution. International Hydrological Programme. Guidelines for drinking water quality, 4th edition, WHO; 2011.

11. Iranian standard and industrial researches institute (IS: 1053), Physicochemical characterization of drinking water, 5 edition; 2009 (in Persian).

12. Reimann C, Siewers U, Skarphagen H, Banks D. Does bottle type and acid washing influence trace element analyses by ICP-MS on water samples? A test covering 62 elements and four bottle types: high-density polyethene (HDPE), polypropene (PP), fluorinated ethene propene copolymer (FEP) and perfluoroalkoxy polymer (PFA). Sci Total Environ 1999;239(1-3):111–130.

13. Vodela JK, Renden JA, Lenz SD, Mcelhenney WH, Kemppainen BW. Drinking water contaminants (Arsenic, Cadmium, Cadmium, Benzene. and Trichloroethylene): 1. interaction of contaminants with nutritional status on general performance and immune Broiler chickens. function in Poultry Sci 1997;76(11):1474-1492.

14. Marcovecchio JE, Botte SE, Freije RH. In: Nollet LM, editor. Handbook of Water analysis. Heavy metals, major metals, trace elements. 2rd ed. Edn, London: CRC Press; 2007.p. 275–311.

15. Moolenaar SW, Van der Zee SEATM, Lexmond ThM. Indicators of the sustainability of heavy metal management in agroecosystems. Sci Total Environ 1997;201(2):155–169.

16. Suyash K, Shirke KD, Pawar NJ. GIS-based color composites and overlays to delineate heavy metal contamination zones in the shallow alluvial aquifers, Ankaleshwar industrial estate, south Gujarat. India Environ Geol 2008;54(1):117–129.

Archives of Hygiene Sciences

Volume 5, Number 3, Summer 2016